# TOOL 5: ENGAGEMENT QUALITY REVIEW TOOL (FINANCIAL AUDIT)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of the Engagement Quality Reviewer** | **:** |  |
| **Assistant reviewers (if any)** | **:** |  |
| **Engagement documentation reviewed (audited entity and period)** | **:** |  |
| **Date of completion of the review** | **:** |  |
| **Auditor’s Report date** | **:** |  |

| **No.** | **Review pointers[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Assessment** | **Remarks** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Is the engagement supervisor’s/audit director’s evaluation/conclusion on the audit team’s compliance with relevant ethical requirements, including competency and independence requirements, appropriate and supported with working papers? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 2 | Are the risks identified by the audit team appropriate based on the audit team’s understanding of the audited entity? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 3 | Are the assessments of risks (i.e., inherent and control risks) appropriate based on the justification and documented support in the planning documents? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 4 | Are the responses appropriate to address the assessed risks? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 5 | Has the audit team appropriately considered and addressed the risk of fraud? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  N/A |  |
| 6 | Are the materiality thresholds determined and utilised appropriately based on SAI’s policy and using appropriate benchmarks and percentages? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 7 | Are the documented results of procedures (substantive procedures, test of controls) and conclusions reached appropriate based on the actual audit procedures performed and evidence obtained? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 8 | Is there an appropriate evaluation when using the work of others as part of audit evidence? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  N/A |  |
| 9 | When using audit sampling, has the audit team developed an appropriate conclusion based on the evaluation of sampling results in accordance with the SAI policy? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  N/A |  |
| 10 | Where there are difficult or contentious matters, has the audit team sought appropriate consultations, and matters are resolved to the satisfaction of the audit team and the individuals for whom consultations were sought?  | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  N/A |  |
| 11 | Where there are differences of opinion, are the matters appropriately resolved in accordance with SAI policy prior to the issuance of the report with sufficient documentation? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  N/A |  |
| 12 | Is the basis of the engagement supervisor’s/audit director’s determination that the engagement supervisor’s/audit director’s involvement has been sufficient and appropriate throughout the audit engagement? Is the involvement evident in the working papers? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 13 | Are the significant judgments documented in the working papers consistent with the related matters presented and disclosed in the audited entity’s financial statements? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 14 | Is the audit opinion rendered appropriate taking into account:* the evaluation of whether sufficient and appropriate evidence has been obtained
* the evaluation of uncorrected misstatements in relation to quantitative and qualitative materiality
* the evaluation of whether the financial statements are prepared in accordance with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework?
 | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |
| 15 | Is there an adequate internal and external communication? | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Details of any concerns regarding the exercise of professional judgment by the audit team and conclusions reached (if any)**1.2.3.4.5. |

**Engagement Quality Reviewer’s Declaration:**

I am appointed as the Engagement Quality Reviewer of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. I have conducted the engagement quality review and fulfilled my responsibilities in accordance with the SAI’s policy and relevant professional standards. I confirm to the best of my knowledge that there has been no contravention of SAI’s code of ethics, including independence requirements, in relation to the engagement. Based on the review, I confirm that:

[ ]  There are no concerns noted in the audit team’s professional judgment and conclusion reached in the audit. The audit team has been notified of the completion of the engagement quality review on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

[ ]  The concerns noted as listed in the table have been communicated to the audit team and appropriately resolved. The audit team has been notified of the completion of the engagement quality review on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

[ ]  The concerns noted as listed in the table have been communicated to the audit team. These concerns remain unresolved. I have notified the (appropriate individual in the SAI) on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ about the matter and that the engagement quality review cannot be completed.

Signature of the Engagement Quality Reviewer

1. The review pointers presented are for illustration purposes only. The SAI should customise the tool based on its prevailing audit methodologies and tools. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)